

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,025		08/07/2003	Leif Fredin	065823.0110	1031
23640	7590	07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER B	•	LP	PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
910 LOUISIANA HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2872	-
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anniination No	1 4 1: 4/ >				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/636,025	FREDIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a fix NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frature, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O2	2 June 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.	·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2004  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the constant o	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. § rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	in atent Application (FTO-102)				

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Request for Continued Examination filed May 5, 2005 and Amendment filed June 2, 2005. Claim 1 has been amended as requested by the applicant.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigehara (US 6,771,358).

Regarding claim 1, Shigehara teaches a light source producing an exicitation signal; a single-mode optical fiber coupled to the light source so that a couple excitation signal is introduc4ed into the optical fiber, wherein the coupled excitation signal is a continuous wave signal modulated at variable frequencies and a first detector to receive radiation backscattered by the optical fiber in response to the excitation signal (col. 7 line 59 – col. 8 line 9; Fig. 1). Shigehara lacks reference to a laser as a light source. It is extremely well known in the art to have a laser as a light source for coupling very specific wavelengths into an optical fiber.

Art Unit: 2872

Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Shigehara light source be a laser as is known in the art for the purpose of outputting the variable wavelengths with narrow wavebands to allow the filters (41-44; Fig. 1) of Shigehara to more efficiently remove the wavelengths from the fibers (11-14; Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Shigehara teaches the claimed invention except for the duplication of the detector. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the detector, since it have been held that a mere duplication of working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. One would have been motivated to duplicate the detector for the purpose of providing a detector for each of the four wavelengths of Shigehara to more precisely determine the amount of light backscattered at that specific wavelength.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed June 2, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 8 under Sai have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shigehara. The examiner suggested claim language that would overcome the prior art of record in a previous telephone interview. The applicant made the suggested amendment and the previous prior art of record has been overcome.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,025

Art Unit: 2872

## Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER